

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

THE STONE FAMILY TRUST,

Plaintiff,

- against -

CREDIT SUISSE AG, CREDIT SUISSE  
SECURITIES (USA) LLC, TIDJANE THIAM, and  
DAVID R. MATHERS,

Defendants.

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 05/20/2022

Case No.: 1:19-cv-05192 (AT)

**STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING THE PRODUCTION OF  
ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND HARD COPY DOCUMENTS**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among The Stone Family Trust (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants Credit Suisse AG, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Tidjane Thiam, and David R. Mathers (“Defendants”), each of whom individually is referred to herein as a “Party,” and collectively, as the “Parties,” by and through their undersigned counsel, to petition the Court pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 502 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, that:

**1. PURPOSE**

This Stipulation and Order (“Order”) regarding the production of Electronically Stored Information (“ESI”) and Hard Copy Documents (collectively, “Document” or “Documents”) shall govern discovery of Documents in this case as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and any other applicable orders and rules.

**2. LIMITATIONS AND NON-WAIVER**

The Parties and their attorneys do not intend by this Order to waive their rights to any protection or privilege arising under the laws of any jurisdiction or treaty, including the attorney-

client privilege, the bank examiner privilege, Swiss data privacy protections, and the work-product doctrine. All Parties preserve all such privileges and protections, and all Parties reserve the right to object to the assertion of any such privileges and protections. The Parties and their attorneys are not waiving, and specifically reserve, the right to object to any discovery request on any grounds. Further, nothing in this Order shall be construed to affect the admissibility of documents and ESI. All objections to the discoverability or admissibility of any documents and ESI are preserved and may be asserted at any time.

Nothing in this Order is intended to expand or limit the Parties' obligations under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules. Any dispute arising out of the production of Documents subject to this Order shall be resolved according to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court's Local and Individual Rules, and any other applicable orders, laws, and rules.

**3. PRESERVATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIALLY RELEVANT INFORMATION AND ESI**

**A.** The Parties agree that preservation of potentially relevant information and ESI will be reasonable and proportionate. Relatedly, the Parties agree to meet and confer at the appropriate time to identify the names of individuals and/or sources from which the producing party plans to collect documents in response to the document requests ahead of any document collections. The Parties agree to meet and confer as needed to resolve any disagreements regarding whether collection from particular sources is reasonable and proportionate to the needs of this case and/or specific discovery requests. In instances in which the producing party becomes aware of the loss or destruction of relevant and non-duplicative information or ESI, the producing party agrees to identify: (1) the circumstances surrounding the destruction or the loss of information or ESI; (2)

where and when the information or ESI was last retrievable in its original format; and (3) whether such information or ESI is available from other sources.

**B.** If the producing party is aware of inaccessible data that is likely to contain unique, discoverable ESI, it will identify the source from which it claims the data is not reasonably accessible to the receiving party.

#### **4. COLLECTIONS, CULLING AND REVIEW**

As it concerns collections, culling and review, the Parties will meet and confer to discuss any methodologies to be employed such as the use of search terms, filters and date ranges or the use of advanced search and retrieval technologies like predictive coding or other technology assisted review (“TAR”). This is an iterative process whereby the Parties agree to revisit, reevaluate and refine these processes as needed to ensure validity and completeness. Relatedly, upon request, the producing party, to the extent search terms are used, will provide search term reports for each custodian or data source that indicate the “hit” count of documents per search term. Nothing in this paragraph will require a producing party to reveal information that constitutes attorney work product.

#### **5. PRODUCTION FORMATS**

The Parties agree to produce Documents in the formats set forth in Appendix 1 and 2 hereto, or to meet and confer regarding reasonable exceptions and limitations.

#### **6. MODIFICATION**

This Order may be modified by written agreement of the Parties or by the Court for good cause shown. Nothing included herein shall preclude any Party from seeking in writing to amend this Order for good cause shown, provided, however, that no Party may seek relief from the Court concerning compliance with the Order until it has met and conferred in good faith with any Parties involved in the dispute.

**7. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS**

**A. Objections Preserved.** Nothing in this Order shall be interpreted to require disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Except as provided expressly herein, the Parties do not waive any objections as to the production, discoverability, authenticity, admissibility, or confidentiality of Documents and ESI.

**B. Technical Variances.** Recognizing that each producing party may experience production issues due to data systems or files that may not be fully compatible with the technical specifications set forth herein, any practice or procedure set forth herein may be varied by agreement of the Parties, confirmed in writing, to accommodate such issues and/or where such variance is deemed appropriate to facilitate the timely and economical production of Documents or ESI. No party shall unreasonably object to any such variance.

**C. Lost or Destroyed Documents.** If a producing party learns that responsive ESI that once existed was lost, destroyed, or is no longer retrievable as a result of acts or circumstances not occurring in the ordinary course of business or not occurring in accordance with the party's document retention policies, the producing party shall comply with its obligations under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to explain where and when the responsive ESI was last retrievable in its original format and to disclose the circumstances surrounding the change in status of that responsive ESI, whether that information is available from other sources, and whether any backup or copy of such original responsive ESI exists. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to expand or limit the obligations under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

**D. Privileged Documents and Privilege Log.** To the extent that a party reasonably determines that one or more responsive documents are not discoverable or a portion of a Document needs to be redacted because it is subject to the attorney-client privilege or attorney work product

doctrine, or is otherwise not discoverable on the basis of a recognized protection or privilege, the party shall provide a rolling privilege log starting within twenty-one (21) days of substantial completion of document production, that complies with Local Rule 26.2. Additionally, no later than thirty (30) days prior to substantial completion of document production, the Parties will meet and confer on a date certain by which all privilege logs will be provided. To the extent a party chooses to produce a document-by-document log, with respect to email threads, the author(s), addressee(s) and recipients of only the top email in the chain will be set forth in the author(s), addressee(s) and recipient fields of the log and for redacted Documents, if there is more than one redaction, one log entry can be used to describe the multiple redactions. In-house attorney and outside counsel names shall be identified on any privilege log(s). Documents or portions thereof that are privileged, that pertain to this litigation and that post-date the filing of the original complaint need not be placed on a privilege log.

**E. Hard Copy Document Storage.** During the pendency of this litigation, the Parties shall make reasonable efforts to preserve the originals of all hard copy Documents as to which there may be issues of legibility of all or any part of the production copy. Each party reserves the right to request to inspect such original documents of the opposing party or parties, which request shall not be unreasonably denied. If such request to inspect is denied, the party may seek relief from the Court.

**F. Reproduction as Natives.** The Parties agree that to the extent any party seeks production in native format of specifically identified ESI produced originally in TIFF form for good cause shown, the producing party shall respond reasonably and in good faith to any such request.

**G. Retention Obligations.** Nothing in this Order alters a Party's legal obligations to retain Documents and data.

## **APPENDIX 1: PRODUCTION DELIVERY REQUIREMENTS**

### **1. GENERAL PRODUCTION PROVISIONS**

**A.** The Parties have agreed that ESI should be produced as TIFF images and in native format where applicable (as described below) with accompanying data and image load files.

**B. TIFF Image Files.** The Parties agree that all Documents, with the exception of Documents produced in native format, will be produced as single-page black and white Group IV TIFF image files of at least 300 dpi resolution with 1 bit depth. Page size shall be 8.5 x 11 inches, unless in the reasonable judgment of the producing party, a particular item requires a different page size. Each image file will use the Bates number of the page as a unique file name. Original document orientation as displayed in the native file should be maintained in the TIFF image (*i.e.*, portrait to portrait and landscape to landscape).

**C. Text Files.** Each Document produced under this Order shall be accompanied by a document level text file containing all of the text for that document, not one text file per page. Each text file shall be named to use the Bates number of the first page of the corresponding document. The text files shall be generated by extracted text from native files and OCR text files from hard copy scanned documents as applicable. The .DAT load file shall include a link to the corresponding text file.

**D. OCR Text Files.** The Parties will provide searchable OCR text of any paper or imaged Documents, unless the party determines that the utility of the OCR is outweighed by the expense. In that case, the producing party will produce the Documents as they are kept in the ordinary course of business.

**E.**     Extracted Text Files from ESI. The Parties shall extract the text of each ESI item directly from the ESI native file, where extracted text is reasonably available.

**F.**     OCR Text for Redacted Documents. The Parties will provide searchable OCR text for the unredacted portion of any redacted files.

**G.**     Bates Numbering.

(i)       Each TIFF image produced under this Order should be assigned a Bates number that must: (1) be unique across the entire document production; (2) maintain a constant length of nine numeric digits (including 0-padding) across the entire production; (3) contain only alphanumeric characters, no special characters; and (4) be sequential within a given Document. The producing party will identify the Bates number range of each production in a cover letter or production log accompanying the production. If a producing party skips a Bates number or set of Bates numbers in a production, the producing party will identify and note the gap in the cover letter or production log accompanying the production.

(ii)      The producing party will brand all TIFF images in the lower right-hand corner with its corresponding Bates number, using a consistent font type and size. If the receiving party believes that a Bates number obscures the content of a Document, then the receiving party may request that the Document be produced with the Bates number in a different position.

**H.**     Re-Production of Documents. Where a producing party makes a re-production of a set of documents produced in a prior litigation, investigation, or any other proceeding, the producing party may, at its discretion (absent contrary Court order), re-produce such documents in the manner in which they were originally produced in the prior case, including all objective

coding or metadata fields required by this Order, to the extent reasonably available to the producing party, as part of the production set. For any such re-production in accordance with this paragraph, the producing party is not obligated to re-format the prior production in accordance with the production specifications in this Order, but must provide Bates numbering and confidentiality designations specific to this litigation.

**I. Parent-Child Relationships.** Parent-child relationships for all embedded ESI documents (*e.g.*, the association between an attachment and its parent email, or a spreadsheet embedded within a word processing document), must be preserved by assigning sequential Bates numbers to all items within the parent-child group, and identifying the family relationship in the relevant ESI metadata and coding fields specified in Appendix 2. For example, if a party is producing an email with embedded attachments, the attachments must be processed and assigned Bates numbers in sequential order, following consecutively behind the parent email. Not all attachments may be produced – for example, privileged or nonresponsive attachments may be removed, but all documents within the responsive families will be Bates numbered prior to production. To the extent attachments are not produced on the basis of responsiveness or privilege, the producing party will provide slip-sheets stating “Non-Responsive Withheld” or “Privileged Withheld” for omitted documents, except that non-responsive images, such as logos in signature blocks, need not be marked by a slip-sheet. However, non-privileged cover emails to responsive documents will be deemed responsive and produced. Privilege logs and metadata for any document withheld as privileged shall be provided consistent with the specifications as described above in Section 7.D. (Privileged Documents and Privilege Log) except that metadata that is subject to a privilege or protection may also be withheld.



**J. Color Documents.** If an original ESI Document contains color text, markings or graphics, and the receiving party believes it is necessary to view such Document in its original color to understand its full meaning or content, then the receiving party may request that the ESI Document be produced in color format. The producing party shall then reproduce such Document(s) and/or ESI in color JPEG format, or in native format. This section also applies to Documents that are produced as TIFF images.

**K. Confidentiality Designations.** If a particular Document has a confidentiality designation, the designation shall be stamped on the face of all TIFF images pertaining to such Document. If the receiving party believes that a confidentiality designation obscures the content of a Document, then the receiving party may request that the Document be produced with the confidentiality designation in a different position. No party may attach to any filing or any correspondence addressed to the Court (including any Magistrate Judge), or any adverse or third party, or submit as an exhibit at a deposition or any other judicial proceeding, a copy (whether electronic or otherwise) of any native format Document produced by any party without ensuring that the corresponding Bates number and confidentiality legend, as designated by the producing party, appears on the Document. For each Document that is marked confidential, a confidentiality field will be populated with the word “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential” in the .DAT file. Also any Documents marked Confidential or Highly Confidential must be handled in accordance with the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this case.

## **2. PRODUCTION OF HARD COPY DOCUMENTS**

**A. Scanned Documents.** All hard copy Documents that are scanned will be produced in electronic form. Where necessary and practicable, hard copy Documents in color will be scanned in color to ensure full information is communicated in the scanned copy. Scanned color Documents will be provided in JPG file format.

**B. Utilization of Paper Documents.** To the extent practicable, hard copy Documents shall be unitized using logical document determinations or “LDD.”

**C. Identification.** Where a Document or group of Documents has an identification spine, “post-it note,” or any other label, the information on the label shall be scanned and produced to the extent practicable.

**D. Custodian Identification.** The Parties will utilize reasonable best efforts to ensure that paper records for a particular custodian or department level custodian, which are included in a single production, are produced in consecutive Bates stamp order.

**E. Metadata.** The metadata associated with each hard copy Document shall identify the Bates number, the custodian associated with that hard copy Document, and any confidential designation or redaction applied to that Document. Where reasonably possible, for each hard copy document, the producing party should also provide the metadata fields as described below in Section 3.D.

### **3. PRODUCTION OF ESI**

**A. De-NISTing and System Files.** ESI productions shall be de-NISTed using the industry standard list of such files maintained in the National Software Reference Library by the National Institute of Standards & Technology. De-NISTed files need not be produced. The Parties may mutually agree upon any additional file types that can be excluded from review and production. A producing party shall identify any additional standard, readable and reviewable file types which have been excluded from its document review population for any production made following the date of this Order. If any party excludes from review a standard, readable, and reviewable file type not within the industry standard, that party must disclose such exclusion to the other Parties.

**B. Native Files.** Certain file types, such as presentation-application files (*e.g.*, MS PowerPoint), spreadsheet application files (*e.g.*, MS Excel, .csv), photographic images, and multimedia audio/visual files such as voice and video recordings (*e.g.*, .wav, .mpeg, and .avi), shall be produced in native format. For files produced in native format, the producing party shall provide a single-page TIFF slip-sheet (excluding native files for PowerPoint) with the applicable Bates stamp indicating that a native item was produced. The corresponding load file (.DAT) shall include a NativeFileLink which provides the relative path linking information for each native file that is produced. In addition, the confidentiality designation will be indicated in the name of the native file. With respect to word processing application files (*e.g.*, MS Word), the receiving party may make reasonable requests for the production of files in native format.

**C. Metadata Fields and Processing.**

(i) ESI shall be processed in a manner that preserves the source native file and relevant metadata without modification, including their existing time, date, and time-zone metadata consistent with the requirements provided in this Order. The producing party will provide information on the time zone in which the data was processed.

(ii) Hidden Text. ESI shall be processed, to the extent practicable, in a manner that preserves hidden columns or rows, hidden text, notes, or worksheets, speaker notes, tracked changes, redlines and comments. Upon request, a producing party will produce files with any such information in native format.

(iii) Compressed Files and Encrypted Files. Compressed file types (*e.g.*, CAB, .GZ, .TAR, .Z, .ZIP) shall be decompressed in a reiterative manner to ensure that a zip within a zip is decompressed into the lowest possible compression resulting in individual files. The producing party will take reasonable steps, prior to production, to unencrypt any

discoverable ESI that exists in encrypted format (*e.g.*, password protected) and that can be reasonably unencrypted.

(iv) Microsoft “Auto” Feature and Macros. Microsoft Excel (.xls), Microsoft Word (.doc or .docx), and Microsoft PowerPoint (.ppt) Documents should be analyzed for the “auto” features, where Documents have an automatically updated date and time in the document, file names, file paths, or similar information that when processed would be inaccurate for how the Document was used in the ordinary course of business, and if such features are found, they should be indicated in the metadata in the field ShowFieldCodes. To the extent that any documents with the features described above in this subsection provide solely inaccurate metadata, the Parties agree to meet and confer to identify appropriate solutions. For example, if the only date-related information for a document is inaccurate, then manually coding the date, with a corresponding metadata field, *e.g.*, Coded Date, offers a potential solution.

(v) Metadata and Coded Fields. ESI items shall be produced with all of the metadata and coding fields set forth in Appendix 2.

**D.** This Order does not create any obligation to create or manually code fields that are not automatically generated by the processing of the ESI, or that do not exist as part of the original metadata of the Document, provided, however, that the producing party must populate, where possible, the (a) BegBates, (b) EndBates, (c) BegAttach, (d) EndAttach, (e) Custodian, (f) NativeFileLink fields, if applicable, (g) TextPath, (h) Redaction Status, and (i) Confidentiality Designation. These fields should be populated for all produced ESI regardless of whether the fields can be populated pursuant to an automated process. To the extent providing these metadata fields is unduly burdensome, the Parties shall meet and confer to determine appropriate solutions.

**4. DATABASES, STRUCTURED, AGGREGATED OR APPLICATION DATA**

A. The Parties will produce Documents collected from databases or other structured databases in a reasonably useable format. If the data cannot be produced in a reasonably useable format, the Parties will meet and confer to address the production format. Prior to or during any such meet and confer, the producing party will provide sufficient information to enable the receiving party to evaluate the best method and format of production. If the Parties cannot reach an agreement, the matter will be decided by the Court or its designee.

B. Proprietary or Special Software. To the extent that relevant ESI cannot be rendered or reviewed without the use of proprietary or special software, the Parties shall meet and confer to minimize any expense or burden associated with the production of such Documents in an acceptable format, including issues as may arise with respect to obtaining access to any such software and operating manual(s).

**5. RESPONSIVENESS, PRIVILEGE AND REDACTIONS**

A. Redactions. The Parties agree that where ESI items need to be redacted for Privilege, they shall be produced in TIFF format with each redaction clearly indicated or where TIFF format is not practicable, in redacted native format, as noted below. Any unaffected data fields shall be provided. The redaction of any material for Privilege shall be governed by the applicable rules and case law regarding the privilege and the provisions contained in the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this action. Documents that are to be produced in native format, but that require redactions may be produced as TIFF images with appropriate portions redacted, or if a TIFF image production is not practicable (*e.g.* the file is a video or very large spreadsheet), the producing party may produce a copy of the native file with the appropriate portions replaced with “REDACTED” or a similar mark. If modification of a native file is required for redaction purposes, the metadata information associated with that file should remain unchanged, unless it

also requires redaction. For each Document that is redacted, a redaction field will be populated with the word “REDACTED” in the .DAT file. Also, the producing party will keep a pristine original copy of the native document.

Printing Specifications for Excel and PowerPoint Files. For Excel and PowerPoint files that are printed to TIFF for redaction and redacted, the following printing options shall be enabled:

<p>Excel Print to TIFF Options</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unhide columns and rows</li> <li>• Unhide worksheets</li> <li>• Autofit columns and rows, settings should be configured to fit first by columns, then down by rows</li> <li>• Wrap text</li> <li>• Print gridlines</li> <li>• Do not apply Autofilter</li> <li>• Display headings</li> <li>• Display comments</li> <li>• Header and Footer filename field handling: Show field code</li> </ul>
<p>PowerPoint Print to TIFF Options</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Print notes pages</li> <li>• Print hidden slides</li> <li>• Print comments</li> </ul>

The producing party shall also make reasonable efforts to ensure that any spreadsheets produced only as TIFF images because of redactions are formatted so as to be legible. For redacted items that were originally ESI, all metadata fields noted in this protocol that do not contain privileged information will be provided and will include all non-redacted data. A Document’s status as redacted does not relieve the producing party from providing all metadata required herein that is not the subject of a claim of privilege or similar protection or immunity from discovery.

**B. De-duplication and Document Families.** The producing party need only produce a single copy of a particular ESI item, and may de-duplicate, as agreed by the Parties, ESI vertically by custodian and horizontally across the population of records. The de-duplication method(s)

employed should remain consistent across each production or otherwise be discussed and agreed to between the Parties concerning any changes. Duplicates shall be identified by using industry standard MD5 or SHA-1 hash values and only exact family duplicates will be removed (*i.e.*, a duplicate document that is attached to a unique, different email would not be considered an exact duplicate). The hash value for each item shall be reflected in the .DAT load file in the HashValue field specified in Appendix 2. De-duplication shall not break apart families and shall be performed at a family level. A Document and all other Documents in its attachment range, emails with attachments and files with extracted embedded OLE documents all constitute family groups. If any member of a family group is produced, all members of that group must also be produced, logged as Privileged, or slip-sheeted as non-responsive, and no such member shall be withheld from production as a duplicate. The producing party agrees that the presence of a custodian's name contained in "CustodianOther" field in the metadata for a particular Document is evidence that the custodian possessed that Document in his/her custodial file. No document that is the parent or an attachment of a produced Document may be withheld as a duplicate. The Parties agree to meet and confer as needed to resolve any disagreements regarding the de-duplication process, and will make reasonable efforts to provide information requested by the receiving party regarding that process.

C. Load Files. The data load file should be in standard Concordance format (.DAT). The .DAT file shall contain a relative path to the corresponding native file.

(i) Concordance Data Load Files:

- The data load file should use standard Concordance delimiters:
- Comma - ¶ (ASCII 20);
- Quote - ¨ (ASCII 254);
- Newline - ® (ASCII 174);

- The first line of the .DAT file should contain the field names arranged in the same order as the data is arranged in subsequent lines;
- All date fields should be produced in the mm/dd/yyyy format, if possible. Date fields may be combined date/time fields;
- All produced attachments should sequentially follow the parent Document/email.

The image load file must be in standard Option (.OPT) format and must reference each TIFF file in the corresponding production, and the total number of TIFF files referenced in the load file must match the total number of image files in the production. The total number of Documents referenced in a production's data load file should match the total number of designated Document breaks in the corresponding image load file for that production. In any deliverable volume, documents should be organized in such a way that each folder in the volume contains 1000 files (each TIFF page or native file is a single file) as one file per folder. Every image in the delivery volume should be cross-referenced in the image load file, the imageID key should be named the same as the Bates number of the page, and load files should not span across media (*e.g.* CDs, DVDs, hard drives etc.), *i.e.*, a separate volume should be created for each piece of media delivered.

**D. OCR/Extracted Text Files:** OCR or Extracted Text files shall be provided in a separate directory containing Document level text files. The .DAT file shall contain a relative path to the corresponding text file. OCR or Extracted text itself should not be included in the .DAT file, *e.g.*, PROD001\TEXT\001\ABC00015.txt.

## **APPENDIX 2: ESI METADATA AND CODING FIELDS**

The chart below describes the metadata fields to be produced, where reasonably available, in generic, commonly used terms which the producing party is to adapt to the specific types of ESI it is producing, to the extent such metadata fields exist associated with the original electronic



Documents and are automatically generated as part of the electronic data discovery process. Any ambiguity about a metadata field should be discussed with the receiving party prior to processing the subject ESI for production.

Field Name	Field Description
BegBates	First Bates number (production number) of an item.
EndBates	Last Bates number (production number) of an item. **The EndBates field should be populated for single-page items.
AttachName	File name of the attachment, with any attachments separated by semi-colon.
BegAttach/Group ID	First Bates number of family group.
EndAttach	Last Bates number of attachment range ( <i>i.e.</i> , Bates number of the last page of the last attachment).
PgCount	Number of pages in the item.
CodedDate	A manually coded date entry to correct for inaccuracies in date metadata fields, should a Party choose to undertake a manual correction, or if this information is requested by the receiving party for a certain document or set of documents, the producing party will make reasonable efforts to provide it.
Custodian	Name of person or source from whose/which files the item is produced.
CustodianOther	Name of the person(s), in addition to the Custodian, from whose files the item would have been produced if it had not been de-duplicated, to the extent such information can be obtained by taking reasonable measures.
FileSize	Size (in bytes or kilobytes) of the source native file.
SourceFilePath <sup>1</sup>	The directory structure or path where the original file was stored on the party's source computer system, ending in the filename, if feasible. Any container name (such as ZIP or PST containers) is included in the file path.
FilePath-Dup	The file paths from the locations in which removed duplicate documents were stored, if feasible.
HashValue	The MD5 or SHA-1 or IntMsgID hash value of the item.
NativeFileLink	Relative path for documents provided in native format only. **The linked filed must be named per the BegBates value.

---

<sup>1</sup> This field may be excluded if the producing party determines it includes information protected by any applicable privilege or immunity or if it is not reasonably feasible to produce.


Field Name	Field Description
SourceParty	Name of the party producing the item.
RecordType	Indicates item type (e.g. email, edoc, attachment, etc.)
FileType	(e.g. Outlook, Adobe Acrobat, MS Word, etc.)
FileExtension	Indicates file extension of the file (e.g. .docx, .pptx, .msg)
DateSent (mm/dd/yyyy)	Date email or calendar items was sent.
TimeSent (hh:mmAM/PM)	Time email or calendar item was sent (date and time fields may be combined)
DateReceived (mm/dd/yyyy)	Date email or calendar items was received.
TimeReceived (hh:mmAM/PM)	Time email or calendar item was received (date and time fields may be combined)
To	The names and/or SMTP email addresses of all recipients that were included on the “To” line of the email or calendar item.
From	The name and/or SMTP email address of the sender of the email or calendar item.
CC	The names and/or SMTP email addresses of all recipients that were included on the “CC” line of the email or calendar item.
BCC	The names and/or SMTP email addresses of all recipients that were included on the “BCC” line of the email or calendar item.
NumberOfAttachments	Number of attached, embedded or grouped items.
DateCreated (mm/dd/yyyy)	Date the item was created.
TimeCreated (hh:mmAM/PM)	Time the item was created (date and time fields may be combined)
ModifiedBy	Person who last modified or saved the item, as populated in the metadata or document properties of the native file.
LastModDate (mm/dd/yyyy)	Date the item was last modified.
LastModTime (hh:mmAM/PM)	Time the item was last modified.
FileName	The filename of the source native file for an ESI item.
Title	Any value populated in the Title field of the source file metadata or item properties.
Subject/EmailSubject	Any value populated in the Subject field of the source file metadata or document properties (e.g. the subject line of an email or calendar item)

Field Name	Field Description
Author	Creator of the document; any value populated in the Author field of the source file metadata or document properties.
TextPath	Full relative path to location of the document-level text file.
Redacted	User-generated field that will indicate redactions with the word "REDACTED". Otherwise, blank.
ShowFieldCodes	Will indicate where there are auto update fields, as described above in paragraph 3.C.(iv).
Confidentiality	User-generated field that will indicate confidentiality with "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" as applicable, otherwise blank.

Dated: May 19, 2022  
New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

CAHILL GORDON & REINDEL LLP

By: 

Herbert S. Washer  
David G. Januszewski  
Sheila C. Ramesh  
Peter J. Linken  
Adam S. Mintz  
32 Old Slip  
New York, New York 10005  
Telephone: (212) 701-3000  
Facsimile: (212) 269-5420  
hwasher@cahill.com  
djanuszewski@cahill.com  
sramesh@cahill.com  
plinken@cahill.com  
amintz@cahill.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Credit Suisse AG,  
Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Tidjane  
Thiam, and David R. Mathers*

KIRBY MCINERNEY LLP

By: Thomas W. Elrod


Thomas W. Elrod  
Ira M. Press  
250 Park Avenue, Suite 820  
New York, New York 10177  
Telephone: (212) 371-6600  
Facsimile: (212) 751-2540  
telrod@kmlp.com  
ipress@kmlp.com

MARK A. STRAUSS LAW, PLLC

Mark A. Strauss  
555 Madison Avenue, 5th Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
Telephone: (212) 729-9496  
Facsimile: (212) 202-6443  
Mark.strauss@markastrausslaw.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff The Stone Family Trust*

**SO ORDERED.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SARAH NETBURN  
United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: May 20, 2022  
New York, New York